UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,820	11/14/2003	Thomas W. Davison	ENDIUS.5CP1C9	2572
20995 7590 05/01/2007 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, VI X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		05/01/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 05/01/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/713,820	DAVISON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Victor X. Nguyen	3734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirting Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12/27/2006,2/13/2007</u> .					
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-57</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44,45 and 47-57</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		(070 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is a response to the amendment dated 12/27/2006 and 2/13/2007. Claims 44-57 are pending. The amendments to claims 44 and 50 with respect to the Double patenting rejections are acknowledged. Therefore, the Double patenting rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, the reference in view of Murdock is still considered to read on the claimed limitations of the claims noted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 44,45,47-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murdock (U.S. 3,044,461). Murdock discloses in figure 1, a device for providing access to a surgical location within a patient, including: an elongate body has a proximal end 12, a distal end 13 and defines a length between the proximal and distal ends such that the proximal end can be positioned outside the patient and the distal end can be positioned inside the patient adjacent the body cavity, and where an arcuate guide 40 (element 40 is known as a cam ring) which is inherently having an elongate length along a portion of the elongated body that is able to move from its contracted condition to its expanded condition(at best seen in figures 4-5). As to claim 50, Murdock discloses in fig. 5, a surgical device for providing access within a patient body, including a guiding mechanism comprising a curved elongated portion at 41 which is able to extend in a transverse direction along the elongate body which is moveable from its contracted

Application/Control Number: 10/713,820

Art Unit: 3734

condition to its expanded condition, where a passage extends through the elongate body between the proximal and distal end sized such that other surgical instrument (obturator, see fig. 13) can be positioned within the passage, where the elongate body is actuatable between a first configuration which is inserted into a patient and a second expanded configuration (see col. 4, lines 9-15), where the first location is distal to the second location, and where the elongate body comprises a metallic material (see col. 3, lines 19,20 and 59-65).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 46 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 12/27/2006 and 2/13/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Murdock fails to teach an arcuate guide having an elongate length. The examiner, respectfully, disagrees. The phrase "an arcuate guide having an elongate length" in the claim is broad enough to mean that element 40 of Murdock is considered as an an arcuate guide having an elongate length along a portion of the elongated body which is moveable from its contracted condition to its expanded condition at best seen in fig. 5. Applicant's argument that Murdock fails to teach a guide mechanism comprising a curved elongated portion extending in a transverse direction. It is noted that fig. 5 of Murdock discloses that a guiding mechanism 40 comprising a curved elongated portion at 41 which is definitely having a transverse direction along the elongated body that is moveable from its contracted condition to its expanded condition.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor X Nguyen Examiner

Art Unit 3734

VN 4/24/2007

> MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Vaya Victor